

VARIABLE SOBOLEV CAPACITY AND THE ASSUMPTIONS ON THE EXPONENT

PETTERI HARJULEHTO, PETER HÄSTÖ, MIKA KOSKENOJA AND SUSANNA
VARONEN

ABSTRACT. In a recent article Harjulehto, Hästö, Koskenoja and Varonen [to appear in *J. Function Spaces Appl.*] showed that it is possible to define a Sobolev capacity in variable exponent Sobolev space. However, this set function is a Choquet capacity only under certain assumptions on the variable exponent. In this article we relax these assumptions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the beginning of the 90's Kováčik and Rákosník [17] introduced variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. In fact, generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are special cases of so-called Orlicz-Musielak spaces, and in this form their investigation goes back a bit further, to Hudzik [15] and Musielak [18]. During the last couple of years Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent have been studied at an increasing pace by Diening [4, 5], Edmunds and Rákosník [6, 7], Fan, Shen and Zhao [9, 10], Cruz-Uribe, Fiorenze and Neugebauer [3], Kokilashvili and Samko [16] and the authors [12, 11], among others.

One area where these spaces have found applications is the study of electrorheological fluids, as described in the book of Růžička [20]. A mathematical application is the study of variational integrals with non-standard growth, see the papers by Acerbi and Mingione [1], [2].

Sobolev capacity for fixed exponent spaces has found a great number of uses (e.g. the monographs by Evans and Gariepy [8] and Heinonen, Kilpeläinen, and Martio [14]). It was introduced into the study of variable exponent spaces in [12] and has been applied to the investigation of zero boundary values of Sobolev functions in [13]. In [12] we required the assumption $1 < \text{ess inf } p \leq \text{ess sup } p < \infty$ of the variable exponent p to guarantee that our set-function is indeed a Choquet capacity. This is unsatisfactory, since there is no reason to expect this condition to be of relevance in this context. In this paper we take a first step towards getting rid of it, by showing that the lower inequality needs to hold only locally. In particular we show in Corollary 4.2 that if the exponent p is continuous, then zero capacity sets enjoy the usual subadditivity property.

2. SOBOLEV $p(\cdot)$ -CAPACITY

We denote by \mathbb{R}^n the Euclidean space of dimension $n \geq 2$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we denote the open ball with center x and radius r by $B(x, r)$ and by $B(r)$ an open

Date: May 25, 2004.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E35, 31C45, 35J65.

Key words and phrases. Variable exponent Sobolev space, Sobolev capacity.

ball with radius r when the center is of no importance. We will next introduce variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces in \mathbb{R}^n ; note that we nevertheless use the standard definitions of the spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in the fixed exponent case $p \geq 1$ with open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function (called the *variable exponent* on \mathbb{R}^n). Throughout this paper the function p always denotes a variable exponent; also, we define $p^+ = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x)$ and $p^- = \text{ess inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x)$. We define the *variable exponent Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to consist of all measurable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\lambda u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$. The function $\varrho_{p(\cdot)} : L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called the *modular* of the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define a norm, the so-called *Luxemburg norm*, on this space by the formula

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(u/\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

The *variable exponent Sobolev space* $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of measurable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that u and the absolute value of the distributional gradient $\nabla u = (\partial_1 u, \dots, \partial_n u)$ are in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The function $\varrho_{1,p(\cdot)} : W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\varrho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) = \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(u) + \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(|\nabla u|)$. The norm $\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}$ makes $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a Banach space. For more details on the variable exponent spaces, see [17].

Recall from [12, Section 3] the definition and basic properties of the Sobolev $p(\cdot)$ -capacity. For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$S_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \{u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u \geq 1 \text{ in an open set containing } E\}.$$

The *Sobolev $p(\cdot)$ -capacity* of E is defined by

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \inf_{u \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)} \varrho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u)$$

In case $S_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \emptyset$, we set $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \infty$. For arbitrary measurable exponents $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ the set function $E \mapsto C_{p(\cdot)}(E)$ has the following properties, [12, Theorem 3.1]:

- (i) $C_{p(\cdot)}(\emptyset) = 0$.
- (ii) [Monotony] If $E_1 \subset E_2$, then $C_{p(\cdot)}(E_1) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E_2)$.
- (iii) If E is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \inf_{\substack{E \subset U \\ U \text{ open}}} C_{p(\cdot)}(U).$$

- (iv) If E_1 and E_2 are subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E_1 \cup E_2) + C_{p(\cdot)}(E_1 \cap E_2) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E_1) + C_{p(\cdot)}(E_2).$$

- (v) If $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots$ are compact, then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(K_i) = C_{p(\cdot)}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i\right).$$

If $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, then these additional properties hold, [12, Theorem 3.2]:

- (vi) If $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$ are subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) = C_{p(\cdot)}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right).$$

(vii) [Subadditivity] If $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$C_{p(\cdot)}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i).$$

This means that if $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, then the set function $E \mapsto C_{p(\cdot)}(E)$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is a Choquet capacity, see [12, Corollary 3.4].

3. RELAXING THE CONDITION $1 < p^-$

We denote by χ_G the characteristic function of the set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $p^+ < \infty$, then*

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R)) = C_{p(\cdot)}(E).$$

Proof. Since $E \cap B(R) \subset E$ for all R , it follows from (ii) that the right-hand side of (3.2) is greater than or equal to the left-hand side. To prove the opposite inequality, suppose first that E is a set with finite $p(\cdot)$ -capacity. Note that

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E \setminus B(R)) + C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R)) \geq C_{p(\cdot)}(E) + C_{p(\cdot)}(\emptyset) = C_{p(\cdot)}(E)$$

by property (iv). We will show that the first term on the left-hand side goes to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$, from which the desired inequality follows.

Let us choose an open set O containing E and a function $u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $u(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in O$ such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) \leq 2C_{p(\cdot)}(E)$. It is clear that $\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(R)} u\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(R)} \nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For $R > 2$ let ϕ be a 1-Lipschitz function with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi(x) = 0$ for $x \in B(R)$ and $\phi(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(2R)$. It is easy to calculate that

$$\|\phi u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} \leq 2\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(R)} u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(R)} \nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

and so $\|\phi u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. It is clear that ϕu is a function which is at least one on the open set $O \setminus \overline{B(2R)}$ which contains $E \setminus \overline{B(2R)}$, so that ϕu is $p(\cdot)$ -admissible for this set. Since $p^+ < \infty$ the norm and the modular tend to zero simultaneously [17, Theorem 2.4], and it follows that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E \setminus B(R)) \rightarrow 0$, which completes the proof in the case that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) < \infty$.

Suppose then that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \infty$ but that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R)) < \infty.$$

Let $M > 1$ be a finite upper bound of $C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R))$. We denote $A_i = B(i + 1) \setminus \overline{B(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, $A_0 = B(1)$, and $C_i = C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A_i)$. Define the function $\phi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\phi_i(x) = \phi(|x| - i)$ where

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} s - 1, & \text{for } -1 \leq s \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ 2 - s, & \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

Note that $\phi_i(x)$ is 1-Lipschitz.

Fix an integer $k \geq 0$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$. Let $u \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(3k + j))$ be such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) < 2M$. Denote $A_{3i+j}^3 = A_{3i+j-1} \cup A_{3i+j} \cup A_{3i+j+1}$. Since the support of

ϕ_{3i+j} lies in A_{3i+j}^3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi_{3i+j}u) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi_{3i+j}u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla(\phi_{3i+j}u)|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{A_{3i+j}^3} 2^{p^+} (|u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)}) dx \\ &= 2^{p^+} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) \leq 2^{p^++1} M. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u\phi_{3i+j} \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A_{3i+j})$, this gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^k C_{3i+j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u\phi_{3i+j}) \leq 2^{p^++1} M.$$

Adding these inequalities for $j = 0, 1, 2$ gives $C_1 + \dots + C_{3k} \leq 6 \cdot 2^{p^+} M$. Since the upper bound does not depend on k , we further get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \leq 6 \cdot 2^{p^+} M.$$

Let us then choose for every integer $i \geq 0$ a function $u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A_i)$ such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq 2C_i$. Then $u_i\phi_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A_i)$ and

$$\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i) \leq 2^{p^+} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i).$$

It is easy to see that $w = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i\phi_i$ is in $S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$. With the convention $\phi_{-1}u_{-1} \equiv 0$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(w) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{A_i} |\phi_{i-1}u_{i-1} + \phi_i u_i + \phi_{i+1}u_{i+1}|^{p(x)} \\ &\quad + |\nabla(\phi_{i-1}u_{i-1} + \phi_i u_i + \phi_{i+1}u_{i+1})|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq 3^{p^+} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i) \leq 6^{p^+} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i \leq 6 \cdot 12^{p^+} M < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = \infty$. This contradiction shows that the assumption $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R)) < \infty$ was false, which concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p^+ < \infty$ and $E \subset B(1)$. Define $A_r = B(r+1) \setminus \overline{B(r)}$ and*

$$A_r(u) = \int_{A_r} |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$$

for $u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $u \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$ and $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E) + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, then $A_k(u) \leq 2\epsilon$ for every

$$k \geq 2^{2p^+} \left(\frac{C_{p(\cdot)}(E)}{\epsilon} + 1 \right) + 2.$$

Proof. Define $\phi_r: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\phi_r(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq r \\ 1 - |x| & \text{for } r \leq |x| \leq r+1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq r+1 \end{cases}.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $u \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$ with $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E) + \epsilon$. Then $u\phi_r \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$ for $r \geq 1$ and so $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u\phi_r) \geq C_{p(\cdot)}(E) \geq \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) - \epsilon$. This gives

$$\int_{A_r} |u\phi_r|^{p(x)} + |\nabla(u\phi_r)|^{p(x)} dx + \epsilon \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(r)} |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Since $|u\phi_r| \leq |u|$ and $|\nabla(u\phi_r)| \leq |\phi_r \nabla u| + |u \nabla \phi_r| \leq |\nabla u| + |u|$, we further get

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{p^+} \int_{A_r} |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \epsilon &\geq \int_{A_r} |u|^{p(x)} + (|\nabla u| + |u|)^{p(x)} dx + \epsilon \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(r)} |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Expressing this in terms of $A_r(u)$ gives

$$(3.4) \quad 2^{p^+} A_r(u) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} A_i(u).$$

Suppose then that $A_k(u) \geq 2\epsilon$. It follows from (3.4) that $2^{p^+} A_i(u) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} A_j(u) \geq A_k(u)$ for $i \leq k$. Using this in (3.4) gives

$$2^{p^+} A_1(u) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i(u) \geq (k-1) \frac{A_k(u) - \epsilon}{2^{p^+}} + A_k(u) \geq (k-1) \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p^+}} + 2\epsilon.$$

Since $A_1(u) \leq \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E) + \epsilon$ this gives

$$2^{p^+} (C_{p(\cdot)}(E) + \epsilon) \geq (k-1) \frac{\epsilon}{2^{p^+}},$$

so that $2^{2p^+} (C_{p(\cdot)}(E)/\epsilon + 1) + 1 \geq k$. This means that if $2^{2p^+} (C_{p(\cdot)}(E)/\epsilon + 1) + 2 \leq k$ then $A_k(u) \leq 2\epsilon$, which was to be shown. \square

We say that p is *locally bounded away from 1* if $\text{essinf}_{x \in K} p(x) > 1$ for every compact $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let E_i be a sequence of increasing sets. Suppose that $p^+ < \infty$ and p is locally bounded from 1. Then the capacity has property (vi).*

Proof. Let us denote $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. It follows from property (ii) that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E).$$

It remains to prove the opposite inequality. It suffices to consider the case where $C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) < M < \infty$ for every i .

Let us first assume that E is bounded. We then choose the coordinate system so that $E \subset B(1)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E_i)$ such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) + \epsilon < M + \epsilon$ for every i . Let

$$k = 2^{2p^+} \left(\frac{M}{\epsilon} + 1 \right) + 2$$

and let the operators A_i be defined as in Lemma 3.3. It follows from the lemma that $A_k(u_i) \leq 2\epsilon$ for every i . Define $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq k \\ 1 - |x| & \text{for } k \leq |x| \leq k + 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq k + 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since $\text{spt } \phi = B(k+1)$, we have

$$\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(u_i) + A_k(\phi u_i).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we find that $A_k(\phi u_i) \leq 2^{p^+} A_k(u_i)$, and since $A_k(u_i) \leq 2\epsilon$ we get

$$\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(u_i) + 2^{p^++1}\epsilon \leq \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) + 2^{p^++1}\epsilon \leq C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) + (2^{p^++1} + 1)\epsilon.$$

Let us define $q_0 = \inf_{x \in B(k+1)} p(x)$ and a new variable exponent by

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} p(x) & \text{for } |x| \leq k+1 \\ q_0 & \text{for } |x| > k+1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\phi u_i \in W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all i , and so we can use the reflexivity of the space $W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, [12] and find a sequence of functions w_j in $W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ each of which equals 1 in an open set containing E with $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(w_j) \rightarrow \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since every w_j is the sum of ϕu_i 's, it is also easy to see that every w_j is supported in $B(k+1)$. Therefore we may use the w_j as test functions for the set E in the space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as well, and we conclude that

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) + (2^{p^++1} + 1)\epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ completes the proof in the case of a bounded set E .

Suppose then that E is unbounded. By what was just proved we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i \cap B(r)) = C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(r))$$

for every $r > 0$. But it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i \cap B(r)) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(r)) = C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(r)), \end{aligned}$$

where the swap of limit-taking order is permissible in the second equality since $(i, r) \mapsto C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i \cap B(r))$ is increasing in both variables. This completes the proof of the unbounded case. \square

Remark 3.6. If p is continuous, then it is locally bounded away from 1 if and only if it is strictly greater than 1.

The previous theorem allows us to improve our result from [12, Corollary 3.4].

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that p is bounded and locally bounded away from 1. Then $C_{p(\cdot)}(\cdot)$ is a Choquet capacity and an outer measure.*

4. ZERO CAPACITY SETS

Sets of zero capacity are of particular importance when dealing with any capacity. For instance it was shown in [13, Theorem 3.9] that zero boundary value spaces defined on Ω and $\Omega \setminus N$ concur if and only if N has zero capacity.

In this section we prove that the regularity properties from the previous section hold for capacity zero sets under much lighter conditions than for arbitrary sets.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that p is locally bounded and that $E_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are zero capacity sets for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $C_{p(\cdot)}(\cup E_i) = 0$.*

Proof. Let us denote $E = \cup E_i$ and define $p_R = \sup_{x \in B(R+2)} p(x)$.

Suppose first that E is bounded, say $E \subset B(R)$. Let ϕ be a 1-Lipschitz continuous cut-off function supported in $B(2R)$ with $\phi|_{B(R)} = 1$. Fix a positive $\epsilon < 2^{-p_{2R}}$. For every i choose a function $u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E_i)$ such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq \epsilon 2^{-i}$. Since the function ϕu_i is supported in $B(2R)$ it is clear that the values of the exponent p outside $B(2R)$ do not effect $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i)$. Therefore we conclude that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i) \leq 2^{p_{2R}} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq \epsilon 2^{p_{2R}-i}$. It follows from [10, Theorem 1.3] (since we need not consider $B(2R)^c$) that

$$\|\phi u_i\|_{1,p(\cdot)} \leq \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(\phi u_i)^{1/p_{2R}} \leq 2\epsilon^{1/p_{2R}} 2^{-i/p_{2R}}.$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality,

$$\left\| \phi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \right\|_{1,p(\cdot)} \leq 2\epsilon^{1/p_{2R}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i/p_{2R}} \leq 2\epsilon^{1/p_{2R}} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-1/p_{2R}}}.$$

Since $\phi \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$ it follows that

$$C_{p(\cdot)}(E) \leq \frac{2\epsilon^{1/p_{2R}}}{1 - 2^{-1/p_{2R}}},$$

and so $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = 0$, since ϵ can be arbitrarily small.

Suppose then that E is unbounded. By monotony it follows that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E_i \cap B(R)) = 0$ for any $R > 0$. It thus follows from the first part of the proof that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap B(R)) = 0$ for any $R > 0$. We next show that this implies that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = 0$. Let us denote $A_3(R) = B(R+2) \setminus \overline{B}(R-1)$ and $A(R) = B(R+1) \setminus \overline{B}(R)$

By monotony of the capacity we have $C_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A(R)) = 0$ for every $R > 0$. Let us choose then for every positive integer i a function $u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E \cap A(i))$ such that $\rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq 6^{-p_R} \epsilon 2^{-i}$. Let ϕ_i denote a 1-Lipschitz function supported in $\overline{A_3(i)}$ with $\phi_i|_{A(i)} = 1$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i u_i \right) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{A_i} |u_{i-1} + u_i + u_{i+1}|^{p(x)} \\ &\quad + |u_{i-1} + u_i + u_{i+1} + \nabla(u_{i-1} + u_i + u_{i+1})|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{A_i} (3^{p_R-1} + 6^{p_R-1})(|u_{i-1}|^{p(x)} + |u_i|^{p(x)} + |u_{i+1}|^{p(x)}) \\ &\quad + 6^{p_R-1} (|\nabla u_{i-1}|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u_i|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u_{i+1}|^{p(x)}) dx \\ &\leq 6^{p_R} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_{1,p(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon 2^{-i} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i u_i \in S_{p(\cdot)}(E)$, this means that $C_{p(\cdot)}(E) = 0$, as was to be shown. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that the variable exponent p is continuous. Then the countable union of zero $p(\cdot)$ -capacity sets has $p(\cdot)$ -capacity equal to zero, as well.*

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione: Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **156** (2001), 121–140.
- [2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione: Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **164** (2002), 213–259.
- [3] D. Cruz-Uribe A. Fiorenza and C. J. Neugebauer: The maximal function on variable L^p spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **28** (2003), 223–238; *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **29** (2004), 247–249.
- [4] L. Diening: Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **7**(2) (2004), 245–254.
- [5] L. Diening: Riesz Potential and Sobolev Embeddings of generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $W^{k,p(\cdot)}$, *Math. Nachr.* **263**(1) (2004), 31–43.
- [6] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník: Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), 267–293.
- [7] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník: Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, II, *Math. Nachr.* **246-247** (2002), 53–67.
- [8] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy: *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [9] X. Fan, J. Shen, and D. Zhao: Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262** (2001), 749–760.
- [10] X. Fan and D. Zhao: On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **263** (2001), 424–446.
- [11] P. Harjulehto and P. Hästö: A capacity approach to the Poincaré inequality and Sobolev imbedding in variable exponent Sobolev space, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **17**(1) (2004), to appear.
- [12] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Koskenoja, and S. Varonen: Sobolev capacity on the space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *J. Function Spaces Appl.* **1**(1) (2003), 17–33.
- [13] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Koskenoja and S. Varonen: *Dirichlet energy integral and variable exponent Sobolev spaces with zero boundary values*, preprint available at <http://www.math.helsinki.fi/analysis/varsobgroup>.
- [14] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio: *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [15] H. Hudzik: The problems of separability, duality, reflexivity and of comparison for generalized Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W_M^k(\Omega)$, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **21** (1980), 315–324.
- [16] V. Kokilasvili and S. Samko: Maximal and fractional operators in weighted $L^{p(x)}$ spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **20**(2) (2004), to appear.
- [17] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník: On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41(116)** (1991), 592–618.
- [18] J. Musielak: *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [19] L. Pick and M. Růžička: An example of a space $L^{p(x)}$ on which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded, *Expo. Math.* **19** (2001), 369–371.
- [20] M. Růžička: *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

Research group home-page:

<http://www.math.helsinki.fi/analysis/varsobgroup>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
 P.O. BOX 68
 FIN-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
 FINLAND

E-mail addresses:

`petteri.harjulehto@helsinki.fi`
`peter.hasto@helsinki.fi`
`mika.koskenoja@helsinki.fi`
`susanna.varonen@helsinki.fi`